

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/523,906	01/28/2	005	Uwe Lasebnick	17102/012001	9453	
22511	7590	08/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIA		BOECKMANN, JASON J				
1221 MCKI SUITE 2800	NNEY STREET)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
HOUSTON	HOUSTON, TX 77010					
				DATE MAILED: 08/26/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
APP 4 .1 -	10/523,906	LASEBNICK, UWE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jason J. Boeckmann	3752					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statused in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of extended period for reply set of extended period f	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI II, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	·						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1/28/2005 is/are	withdrawn from consideration. on and/or election requirement. Examiner.	to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-83) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Preper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/2005.	O-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/523,906

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7, 12, 13, 15-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Murawa (6,402,052).

Murawa shows a nozzle for a washing system for vehicle windscreens comprising a nozzle body (100), with a receiving device (101), provided in the nozzle body, to which a nozzle insert (120a, 120b) is or can be inserted. The nozzle insert (120a, 120b) influences the jet from a liquid jet leaving the nozzle. The receiving device has at least two inlets (122a, 122b) for cleaning liquid and the nozzle insert is designed such that it influences the cleaning liquid coming form one inlet in a different manner from another inlet. In regards to claims 2, 3 4 and 22, the nozzle body (100) can be fitted with different nozzle inserts to produce various types of jets well known in the art. (column 2, lines 57-8). With respect to claims 5 and 6, the nozzle (100) blocks the cleaning liquid coming form inlet 122b when the cleaning liquid is flowing through inlet 22a. Also the cleaning liquid form one inlet (122a) does not mix with the cleaning liquid form the other inlet (122b). In regards to claims 12 and 13, the inlets (122a, 122b) are

Page 3

perpendicular to the main jet direction of the jet forms to be produced (108a, 108b), and the nozzle insert (120a, 120b) has essentially a cuboid shape. In regards to claim 7, the nozzle insert (120a, 120b) together with at least one wall of the receiving device (101), facing the insert forms a chamber (108a, 108b), which influences the cleaning liquid.

In regards to claims 15-17 and 19, Murawa shows a valve (111), which can be controlled via the pressure of the cleaning liquid (column 5 lines 13-5), that is arraigned in the nozzle body (100). The valve (111) has one input (Figure 3), which can be connected to a conveying pump (125), via a line (124), and at least two outputs (103a, 103b), wherein each output is connected to an inlet (122a, 122b) of the receiving device. When a low pressure is applied, the valve (111) connects the main input to the first output (103a) and/or to the other output (103b). When high pressure is applied, the valve (111) connects the main input to the other (103b) or to the first output (103a). In regards to claims 18, 23 and 24, in the basic position, the valve (111) separates the input from all outputs. With respect to claims 20, 21 and 25, the conveying pump delivers the cleaning liquid in a controlled manner with varying pressure (column 5, lines 14-7), in which the pressure variation is controlled as a function of the vehicle speed (column 5, lines 46-50 and column 6, lines 15-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/523,906

Art Unit: 3752

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 8-10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murawa (6,402,052) in view of Berning et al (US 2003/0234303).

Murawa shows all the elements of the applicant's invention except for the nozzle insert having whirl chambers, formed together with at least one wall of the receiving device and each connected to separate inlets. However, Berning et al shows a nozzle insert (18) that forms a chamber (28, 30), which influences and/or guides the cleaning liquid. The chamber is a whirl chamber and is connected to an inlet (42) and has at least one jet guide to a nozzle opening (figure 2a). The nozzle insert (18) has a whirl chamber with a jet guide on one side (26), on the other side, opposite the first side, it has a second whirl chamber with a second jet guide (24), wherein the first whirl chamber (26) is connected to a first inlet (42) and the second whirl chamber (24) is connected to a second inlet (44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to substitute the nozzle insert of Berning et al for that of Murawa in order to include the whirl chambers to atomize the cleaning liquid.

With regards to claim 14, Berning's et al nozzle insert (18) is made of plastic and in particular is produced in a molding process (paragraph, 0042 lines 5-8).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murawa (6,402,052) in view of Yoshida et al (6,082,636).

Murawa as set forth in claim 1, shows all the elements of the applicants invention except for the nozzle insert having a breakaway edge for producing a flat jet. However, Yoshida et al shows a breakaway edge (12a) that water is directed towards and a flat jet is produced. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to add the breakaway edge of Yoshida et al to the nozzle insert of Murawa in order to produce a flat jet and spray a larger area on the windscreen.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bray (4,645,126) and Stouffer (5,749,525) show a nozzle insert that influences the cleaning liquid with a whirl chamber and a nozzle. Matsumoto et al (6,354,515) shows a windscreen sprayer, and Holt et al (6,554,210) shows a windscreen sprayer with two separate channels that have different outputs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Boeckmann whose telephone number is (571) 272-2708. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00- 4:30 m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/523,906

Art Unit: 3752

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-5300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JJB JJB 8-24-05

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Page 6